Pharm Methods, 2024; 15(4): 01-02
A multifaceted peer reviewed journal in the field of Pharm Analysis and Pharmaceutics
www.phmethods.net | www.journalonweb.com/phm

Short Communication

Determination of Alkaline Phosphatase in Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms in Liquid Chromatography

Tianyu Alice*
Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States of America

Received: *27.11.2024, Manuscript No. PHMETHODS-24-156675;* ***Editor assigned:*** *29.11.2024, PreQC No. PHMETHODS-24-156675 (PQ);* ***Reviewed:*** *13.12.2024, QC No. PHMETHODS-24-156675;* ***Revised:*** *20.12.2024, Manuscript No. PHMETHODS-24-156675 (R);* ***Published:*** *27.12.2024, DOI: 10.35248/2229-4708.24.15.277*

Correspondence:
Tianyu Alice
Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States of America
E-mail: tianyu@alice.edu

DESCRIPTION

Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) is an enzyme widely present in various organisms and biological systems. It plays a significant role in catalyzing the hydrolysis of phosphate esters, making it a vital component in several biological and industrial processes. In pharmaceutical sciences, the determination of ALP activity in dosage forms is essential for quality assurance, especially in formulations where it serves as a biomarker or active agent. Traditionally, Liquid Chromatography (LC) has been employed as the primary method for quantifying ALP activity due to its precision and reliability. However, the complexity, cost and time consumption associated with LC have encouraged the development of alternative methodologies. This article focuses on alternative approaches for the determination of ALP in pharmaceutical dosage forms, highlighting the principles, advantages and limitations of these methods.

Liquid chromatography has been a preferred analytical tool for many years due to its accuracy and versatility. Its ability to separate and quantify analytes in complex matrices has made it indispensable in pharmaceutical quality control. However, LC is not without its challenges. The instrumentation is costly, requiring significant capital investment and specialized training for operation. Furthermore, the preparation of samples for LC analysis is often labor-intensive, demanding skilled technicians and substantial time. The need for organic solvents and other reagents also increases operational costs and environmental concerns. For laboratories with limited resources, these constraints can be prohibitive. Additionally, LC methods often require extensive method development and validation to ensure accuracy and reproducibility for specific applications. This process is time-consuming and may not be feasible for routine or high-throughput analyses. These limitations have driven researchers to investigate alternative methodologies that provide comparable accuracy and reliability while reducing the costs and complexities associated with LC [1-3].

One of the most straightforward alternatives to LC for ALP determination is spectrophotometry. This technique is based on measuring the absorbance or transmission of light by a solution at a specific wavelength. In ALP assays, chromogenic substrates such as p-Nitrophenyl Phosphate (pNPP) are commonly used. ALP catalyzes the hydrolysis of pNPP to produce p-nitrophenol, which absorbs light at 405 nm. The intensity of the absorbance is directly proportional to the enzyme activity, allowing for quantification. Spectrophotometric methods are advantageous due to their simplicity, low cost and rapid analysis time. They require minimal sample preparation and can be performed using standard laboratory equipment. However, these methods are susceptible to interference from other absorbing species in the sample matrix, which can affect accuracy. Therefore, careful selection of assay conditions and substrates is necessary to minimize potential errors.

Fluorometric assays are another viable alternative for determining ALP activity. These methods rely on the enzymatic conversion of non-fluorescent substrates into fluorescent products, which can then be quantified using a fluorescence spectrometer. Substrates such as 4-Methylumbelliferyl Phosphate (4-MUP) are commonly employed. ALP hydrolyzes 4-MUP to produce 4-methylumbelliferone, a compound that fluoresces under ultraviolet light. Fluorometric assays offer higher sensitivity than spectrophotometric methods, making them suitable for detecting low levels of ALP activity. They also provide better specificity, as fluorescence measurements are less likely to be affected by other components in the sample. However, the requirement for specialized fluorescence detection equipment can be a limiting factor for some laboratories. Additionally, the stability of fluorescent products under assay conditions must be considered to ensure reliable results [4].

Electrochemical techniques have gained popularity as an alternative approach for ALP determination. These methods involve measuring changes in electrical properties, such as current or potential, resulting from the enzymatic reaction. For example, ALP can catalyze the hydrolysis of a substrate to produce an electroactive product, which can then be detected using an electrode. Biosensors based on electrochemical detection are particularly attractive for ALP assays. These devices integrate an ALP-specific recognition element with a transducer, providing real-time monitoring of enzyme activity. They are compact, cost-effective and suitable for point-of-care testing. However, developing strong and reproducible biosensors requires careful optimization of the sensor design and assay conditions. Interference from other electroactive species in the sample can also pose challenges [5].

CONCLUSION

The determination of ALP in pharmaceutical dosage forms is essential for quality assurance and regulatory compliance. While liquid chromatography has long been the standard

method for this purpose, its limitations have driven the exploration of alternative techniques. Spectrophotometric, fluorometric, electrochemical, immunoassay-based and microfluidic methods each offer unique advantages and limitations, making them suitable for different applications and settings. By selecting the most appropriate method based on the specific requirements and constraints of the analysis, laboratories can achieve accurate and reliable ALP determination without relying solely on liquid chromatography. Continued research and development in this area are likely to further enhance the capabilities and accessibility of alternative methodologies, supporting the advancement of pharmaceutical sciences.

REFERENCES

1. Viano R, Milani L, Orkenyi R, Adamo A. Continuous separation and purification of chemical species by continuous liquid–liquid partition chromatography implemented with membrane-based phase separators. Org Process Res Dev. 2023;27(12):2296-2308.
2. Wei B, Dai L, Zhang K. Applications of hydrophilic interaction and mixed-mode liquid chromatography in pharmaceutical analysis. J Chromatogr A. 2024;465524.
3. Kalisz O, Tobiszewski M, Nowaczyk A, Bocian S. Exploring the potential of green chemistry in reversed-phase liquid chromatography: A review of sustainable solvents. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 2024;118007.
4. Dang J, Lv Y, El-Aty AA, Li G. Practically complementary size exclusion chromatography and reversed-phase liquid chromatography for the preparative separation of structurally similar flavone-C-glycosides. J Chromatogr A. 2024;465558.
5. Wang X, Yang H, Zeng H, Xu Z, Chen W, Zhou G, et al. Preparation of ionic gel-modified stationary phase for RPLC/HILIC/IC separation and its application in per aqueous liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 2024;1735:465313.